

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/659,307	09/12/2000	William Robert Newman	659/691	6082
757	7590 10/07/2003		EXAM	INER
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
JJ.100,			3654	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/659,307	NEWMAN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	William A Rivera	3654
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiple ch
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection.  FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the index the appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 15-45.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-14 and 4	<u>16-94</u> .	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3654

Application No.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is the examiner's position that the claims as se forth read on the prior art.